Hemispheric differences in hemodynamics elicited by auditory oddball stimuli
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Evidence from neuroimaging studies suggests that the right hemisphere of the human brain might be more specialized for attention than the left hemisphere. However, differences between right and left hemisphere in the magnitude of hemodynamic activity (i.e., ‘functional asymmetry’) rarely have been explicitly examined in previous neuroimaging studies of attention. This study used a new voxel-based comparison method to examine hemispheric differences in the amplitude of the hemodynamic response in response to infrequent target, infrequent novel, and frequent standard stimuli during an event-related fMRI auditory oddball task in 100 healthy adult participants. Processing of low probability task-relevant target stimuli, or ‘oddballs’, and low probability task-irrelevant novel stimuli is believed to engage in orienting and attentional processes. It was hypothesized that greater right-hemisphere activation compared to left would be observed to infrequent target and novel stimuli. Consistent with predictions, greater right hemisphere than left frontal, temporal, and parietal lobe activity was observed for target detection and novelty processing. Moreover, asymmetry effects did not differ with respect to age or gender of the participants. The results (1) support the proposal that the right hemisphere is differentially engaged in processing salient stimuli and (2) demonstrate the successful use of a new voxel-based laterality analysis technique for fMRI data.
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Attention is believed to involve a distinctive neural network that interacts with other brain systems to facilitate various cognitive processes. Although there are many different operational definitions of attention, it is generally agreed that attention functions to orient to sensory events, to detect specific signals for subsequent processing, and to maintain vigilance over time (Posner and Petersen, 1990). Previous studies have examined attention by measuring brain activity to target stimuli in the context of ‘oddball’ tasks. Oddball tasks require detection of infrequent target stimuli within the context of frequently presented standard stimuli (Polich and Kok, 1995; Sutton et al., 1965)—for example, the detection of an occasional high-pitched tone in a sequence of low-pitched tones. The process of detecting salient target stimuli is believed to require orienting and allocation of attention, while vigilance is required to maintain task performance. Brain activity during oddball tasks is frequently measured by averaging task-related electroencephalogram recordings to produce event-related potentials (ERPs). Detection of low probability target stimuli produces a characteristic ERP waveform that includes several meaningful components, including the mismatch negativity (MMN; Naatanen et al., 1992) related to sensory trace memory, the N2 related to matching stimuli to an internally generated contextual template (Gehring et al., 1992), the P3b, related to contextual updating of working memory processes (Donchin and Coles, 1988). The P3b is elicited by target stimuli and has a centro-parietal scalp topography, whereas infrequent task-irrelevant novel stimuli (e.g., non-repeating random or environmental noises) produce a P3 with a frontocentral distribution (i.e., P3a; Courchesne et al., 1975) that peaks earlier than the P3b. The different scalp distributions and peak latencies of the P3a and P3b suggest that each component reflects different cognitive processes. Specifically, novelty stimuli are thought to better represent an orienting response (Friedman et al., 1997), whereas target stimuli require additional cognitive processes required for conscious awareness of target identification.

Functional asymmetries can be defined as neural activity that is stronger in one cerebral hemisphere compared to the other. Such hemispheric asymmetries have been reported for several ERP components elicited by target and novel stimuli in oddball tasks. For example, Alexander et al. (1996) found that the P3 amplitude elicited by target and standard stimuli was relatively greater over the right hemisphere frontal and parietal sites than over the left. Alexander and colleagues also found that the N2
amplitude generally was larger over the right than left hemisphere lateral sites for target stimuli. These results are consistent with other studies that examine oddball ERP topography (Cohen et al., 2002; Holinger et al., 1992; Karniski and Blair, 1989; Naumann et al., 1992; Oades et al., 1995). In addition, several ERP and magnetoencephalography studies suggest that MMN is greater in the right hemisphere, both at temporal and prefrontal foci (Alain et al., 1998; Deouell et al., 1998; Jemel et al., 2002; Levanen et al., 1996; Otten et al., 2000; Paavilainen et al., 1991; Rinne et al., 2000). However, it is difficult to identify the exact neural generators of scalp-recorded ERPs due to the limitations of ERP source localization techniques (Baillet and Garnero, 1997; Pascual-Marqui et al., 1994; Scherg and Von Cramon, 1986; Scherg et al., 1989) (i.e., the lack of a unique mathematical solution to the location, strength, or orientation of a putative neural source). This prevents the use of ERP data to determine exactly which brain regions may subserve a particular cognitive process.

Event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), on the other hand, can provide precise information about the spatial characteristics of neural activity in each hemisphere. To date, over a dozen fMRI experiments have employed oddball paradigms to examine attention-related neural activity (Bledowsk et al., 2004a,b; Casey et al., 2001; Clark et al., 2000, 2001; Horovitz et al., 2002; Kiehl and Liddle, 2003; Kiehl et al., 2001a,b, in press; Kirino et al., 2000; Linden et al., 1999; McCarthy et al., 1997; Menon et al., 1997; Mulert et al., 2004; Opitz et al., 1999; Strange and Dolan, 2001). None of these studies explicitly examined whether functional asymmetry exists in measures of hemodynamic activity to target or novel stimuli, despite some evidence that suggests hemispheric differences. For example, Kiehl and colleagues report greater z and t scores representing activation magnitude to rare events in right superior, middle, and inferior frontal gyri, middle and inferior temporal gyri, and right cerebellum (Kiehl et al., 2001a,b) compared to left hemisphere areas. There also are reports of larger activation t scores to targets in right compared to left inferior parietal lobule/supramarginal gyrus (Kiehl et al., in press; Menon et al., 1997). The results of other fMRI studies of attention also support the proposal that there may be a right-hemisphere functional asymmetry for attention. Activity in right-hemisphere brain structures is found in attention tasks involving voluntary control (Corbetta and Shulman, 2002) or shifting of attentional focus (Vandenbergh et al., 2001; Yantis et al., 2002), attention to objects (O’Craven et al., 1999) versus spatial location (Corbetta et al., 1993, 1995, 2000), or the modulation of sensory cortex activity with increased attention (Jancke et al., 1999; Pinsk et al., 2004). Although these tasks measure cognitive processes that are somewhat different from those conceptualized in oddball tasks, they provide additional evidence that right hemisphere brain areas are important to attention.

In summary, both ERP and fMRI studies of oddball tasks and other paradigms measuring attention processes support right hemisphere dominance for attentional processes. In particular, the right dorsolateral and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, superior, middle and inferior temporal gyri, and inferior parietal lobule might play special roles in attention-related neural activity. The current experiment used a new technique that was developed to facilitate the analysis of fMRI data for functional asymmetries. This study compared the amplitude of the hemodynamic response between the two cerebral hemispheres to determine which brain structures show lateralized differences in hemodynamic activity associated with processing salient target and novel stimuli. Participants included 100 healthy adults performing a three-stimulus auditory oddball task. Discriminating target and novel from standard stimuli should require greater right hemisphere activity, consistent with the view that processing of target and novel stimuli engages right hemisphere attentional resources. Therefore, it was hypothesized that there would be right-lateralized hemodynamic activity for both target and novel stimuli in lateral prefrontal cortex and temporal–parietal cortex (i.e., superior/middle temporal gyrus, inferior parietal lobule). It also was predicted that target detection, which requires a manual response with the right index finger, would be associated with stronger ipsilateral (i.e., right) cerebellar activity and contralateral (i.e., left) precentral and postcentral gyrus activity. This prediction is consistent with the known neuroanatomy of the motor system in right-handed individuals. We also examined the asymmetry indices for gender and age effects. However, no specific predictions were made for these latter analyses.

Methods

Participants

One-hundred healthy right-handed volunteers (53 men and 47 women, mean age 29.2 years (range: 18–62; SD 10.03) participated in the study (described in detail by Kiehl et al., in press). Participants were drawn from two sites: The University of British Columbia (UBC), Vancouver, BC and The Olin Neuropsychiatry Research Center at The Institute of Living/Hartford Hospital (IOL), Hartford, CT. All participants were free from serious medical problems, Axis I or Axis II psychopathology in the last 6 months, and visual or auditory sensory deficits. Participants provided written informed consent in protocols approved by each site’s governing Institutional Review Board.

Procedure

The experimental task was a three-stimulus auditory oddball task previously used in both ERP and fMRI studies (Kiehl et al., 2001a,b, in press). Participants were instructed to respond as quickly and as accurately as possible with their right index finger every time a target tone occurred and not to respond to the standard tones or the novel stimuli. The standard stimulus was a 1000 Hz tone (probability, P = 0.80), the target stimulus was a 1500 Hz tone (P = 0.10), and the novel stimuli (P = 0.10) were non-repeating random digital noises (e.g., tone sweeps, whistles). Target and novel stimuli were always preceded by at least 3 standard stimuli (range 3–5). Stimuli were presented for 200 ms with a 2000 ms stimulus onset asynchrony (SOA). The intervals between stimuli of interest (i.e., target and novel stimuli) were allocated in a pseudo-random manner in the range 6–10 s so as to ensure that these stimuli had equal probability of occurring at 0, 1, and 2 s after the beginning of a 3 s image acquisition period. As a result, the hemodynamic response to each type of stimulus of interest was

---

1 It should be noted that a previous report using these data explicitly test for differences between the two sites. No meaningful differences were found for any main effect of the auditory oddball task (Kiehl et al., in press).
sampled uniformly at 1 s intervals. Prior to beginning the task, each participant performed a practice block of 10 trials to ensure understanding of the instructions. A commercially available MRI compatible fiber-optic response device (Lightwave Medical, Vancouver, BC) was used to acquire behavioral responses. All participants reported that they could hear the stimuli and discriminate them from the background scanner noise.

**Imaging parameters**

For both sites, imaging was implemented on a standard clinical GE 1.5 T system fitted with a Horizon Echo-speed upgrade. The participants’ heads were firmly secured using a custom head holder. Conventional spin-echo T1-weighted sagittal localizers were acquired for use in prescribing the functional image volumes. Functional image volumes were collected with a gradient-echo sequence (TR/TE 3000/40 ms, flip angle 90°, FOV 24 × 24 cm, 64 × 64 matrix, 62.5 kHz bandwidth, 3.75 × 3.75 mm in plane resolution, 5 mm slice thickness, 29 slices) effectively covering the entire brain (145 mm). The two stimulus runs consisted of 167 time points, prefaced by a 12 s rest period that was collected to allow for T1 effects to stabilize. These initial four images were not included in any subsequent analyses.

**Image processing**

Functional images were reconstructed offline and reoriented to the anterior commissure/posterior commissure (AC/PC) plane. Functional images from each run were corrected for motion artifacts using an algorithm unbiased by local signal changes (INRIAAlign; Freire and Mangin, 2001; Freire et al., 2002). Translation and rotation corrections for each participant typically did not exceed half a voxel or 2.0°, respectively (visual inspection of the statistical parametric maps for the three participants whose movement exceeded half a voxel did not show any obvious artifact; these participants were retained in the current analysis.) Following realignment, a mean functional image was computed for each EPI time series. The mean EPI image was matched to the standard EPI template provided in SPM2. The symmetric template was constructed as the average of two images. The first image contained the left hemisphere of the standard MNI EPI template in both the left and in the right hemispheres (that is, the left hemisphere was “flipped” along the y axis). The second image was the right hemisphere of the standard EPI template, with its mirror in the left hemisphere space.

The second step was to determine for each individual participant the parameters required to spatially normalize images to the symmetric EPI template. These parameters were calculated from the mean of the original spatially normalized EPI time series and then were applied to images representing the amplitude of hemodynamic response for target, novel, and standard stimuli (a.k.a., beta and/or contrast images in SPM; but also see Calhoun et al., 2004a). This method compromises the exact mapping of MNI coordinates in SPM2. However, it provides a less anatomically biased way to compare activation between similar brain structures from each cerebral hemisphere.

The third step in the procedure was to create images representing the difference in the amplitude of the hemodynamic response between the two hemispheres. Differences in the hemodynamic response for each voxel in the right hemisphere were subtracted from the corresponding voxel in the left hemisphere (L–R). An analogous subtraction (R–L) was computed for right hemisphere voxels. The resulting difference image contained positive voxel values in both hemispheres indicating where that hemisphere had greater activity than the other. However, it is important to note that the sign of each voxel in this hemispheric asymmetry image does not provide any information as to whether the difference results from positive or negative signal change (see Postprocessing masking, below). The images for all participants for each condition of interest were entered into a series of second-level (group) random effects SPM analyses.
Statistical analysis of hemispheric laterality images

One-sample t tests were employed to test study hypotheses regarding hemispheric differences in the amplitude of hemodynamic response for (1) standard stimuli versus the implicit baseline, (2) targets versus the standard baseline, and (3) novels versus the standard baseline. Supplementary analyses also were performed to examine the effects of gender and age on hemispheric functional asymmetry for each condition of interest. The effect of gender on hemispheric asymmetry was evaluated using two-sample t tests separately for standard, target, and novel stimuli hemispheric laterality images. The effects of age were examined by computing the correlation between age and the hemispheric asymmetry images. For all reported statistical test results, significant peak voxel coordinates, slope, and t score statistics are reported for local maxima. The slope is reported in order to provide information about the magnitude and direction of the effect. Unless otherwise noted, all reported effects and illustrations depict significant differences at a voxelwise threshold of \( P < 0.01 \) FWE, corrected for searching the whole brain.

Postprocessing masking

For any given asymmetry effect, differences between the two hemispheres could be the result of three separate conditions: (1) positive signal change (i.e., ‘activation’) in one hemisphere could be greater than activation in the other; (2) positive signal change in one hemisphere could be greater than negative signal change (i.e., ‘deactivation’) in the other; and (3) negative signal change in one hemisphere could be greater than negative signal change in the other. Because difference maps were created using simple subtraction, these lateralized fMRI amplitude maps are blind to these three possible patterns. To address this ambiguity, a set of masks was created to differentiate patterns of signal change associated with each of the three possible patterns. Three mean images were calculated from symmetrically normalized hemodynamic response amplitude images for the participant sample (one mean image each for standards, targets versus standards, and novels versus standards). The mean images were used to classify each possible pattern (e.g., right hemisphere positive signal change versus left hemisphere positive signal change). These masks were then applied to the results of the asymmetry statistical tests.

An additional complication is that, for any given condition of interest, significant group differences between hemispheres could be detected in voxels where a significant main effect does not exist in either hemisphere. The absence of a significant main effect in both hemispheres calls into question the meaning of finding a significant hemispheric difference in hemodynamic activity for that region. Two approaches were used to identify such regions. First, three separate one-sample t tests examined images for each participant that represented the amplitude of the hemodynamic response to standard, target, or novel stimuli which had been spatially normalized to the symmetric EPI template. Data describing these main effects are reported for those regions where lateralized differences are found (see Tables 1 and 2, below) (for complete analyses of all main effects using the canonical MNI template, see Kiehl et al., in press). Second, another set of masks were created to assist visualization of which regions had a significant main effect in both hemispheres. For each participant, the values of images representing the amplitude of hemodynamic response to each condition of interest (i.e., standards, targets, and novels) were written out to two new images. One image had left-hemisphere activation mirrored on the right side. The second image had right-

Table 1
Summary of the areas showing significantly lateralized activation in brain regions where the left hemisphere had greater amplitude of hemodynamic response than the right hemisphere in healthy adult participants (n = 100) for each condition of interest (standard stimuli versus implicit baseline, target versus standard stimuli, and novel versus standard stimuli)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Peak voxel</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>t00</th>
<th>Left hemisphere main effect*</th>
<th>Right hemisphere main effect*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>z</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard stimuli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior parietal lobe/superior</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.53 8.51 0.39 4.93b</td>
<td>−0.14 1.86b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temporal gyrus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>0.52 6.69 0.25 2.73b</td>
<td>−0.27 3.09b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Targets vs. standard baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse temporal gyrus</td>
<td>−56</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.87 9.45 2.41 23.65</td>
<td>1.53 16.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.39 13.79 1.90 18.75</td>
<td>0.52 5.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior parietal lobe</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.43 7.24 1.21 13.80</td>
<td>0.78 10.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left cerebellum</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>−72</td>
<td>−36</td>
<td>0.45 9.22 1.55 16.68</td>
<td>1.10 13.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Novels vs. standard baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left cerebellum</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>−68</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>0.35 6.93 1.03 12.67</td>
<td>0.68 9.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−80</td>
<td>−44</td>
<td>0.29 6.08 0.94 13.10</td>
<td>0.65 9.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also shown are data for the main effect of the condition of interest in the same voxel coordinate and in the opposite hemisphere. β coefficients (slope) and t scores for each effect are shown. All t scores are at least FWE \( P < 0.01 \), corrected for searching the whole brain unless otherwise indicated.

* Because these data were normalized to a symmetric template, the peak voxel slope and t score data may not exactly correspond to data at the same voxel coordinate presented in Kiehl et al. (in press), which were not normalized to a symmetric EPI template.

b FEW \( P > 0.05 \), or non-significant, corrected for searching the whole brain.
Table 2
Summary of the areas showing significantly lateralized activation in brain regions where the right hemisphere had greater amplitude of hemodynamic response than the left hemisphere in healthy adult participants (n = 100) for each condition of interest (standard stimuli versus implicit baseline, target versus standard stimuli, and novel versus standard stimuli)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Peak voxel</th>
<th>Left hemisphere main effecta</th>
<th>Right hemisphere main effecta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x  y  z</td>
<td>Slope  t&lt;sub&gt;99&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Slope  t&lt;sub&gt;99&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Targets vs. standard baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>12 48 44</td>
<td>0.61  8.90</td>
<td>0.65  6.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial frontal gyrus</td>
<td>20 52 28</td>
<td>0.44  7.94</td>
<td>0.95  12.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>44 44 0</td>
<td>0.79  10.12</td>
<td>1.35  14.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>56 20 8</td>
<td>0.78  9.69</td>
<td>1.22  11.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48 28 8</td>
<td>0.53  7.66</td>
<td>0.93  11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior parietal lobule</td>
<td>52 44 0</td>
<td>0.50  6.19</td>
<td>1.04  11.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precuneus</td>
<td>40 72 40</td>
<td>0.61  7.40</td>
<td>0.51  5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>56 44 40</td>
<td>0.63  8.65</td>
<td>1.23  13.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>56 44 40</td>
<td>0.64  8.46</td>
<td>1.23  12.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right cerebellum</td>
<td>16 52 24</td>
<td>0.79  12.58</td>
<td>1.89  22.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 72 48</td>
<td>0.54  7.67</td>
<td>1.51  17.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 60 52</td>
<td>0.38  6.11</td>
<td>1.05  12.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Novels vs. standard baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>20 24 52</td>
<td>0.31  6.68</td>
<td>0.36  6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle frontal gyrus</td>
<td>16 32 52</td>
<td>0.45  7.47</td>
<td>0.69  8.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>48 20 28</td>
<td>0.59  7.45</td>
<td>1.23  14.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial frontal gyrus</td>
<td>52 20 4</td>
<td>0.53  7.53</td>
<td>1.05  12.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>8 64 12</td>
<td>0.42  6.85</td>
<td>0.25  2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>52 36 40</td>
<td>0.57  8.45</td>
<td>1.17  15.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior parietal lobule</td>
<td>36 60 48</td>
<td>0.57  7.33</td>
<td>1.08  13.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>40 72 44</td>
<td>0.52  6.31</td>
<td>0.82  10.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also shown are data for the main effect of the condition of interest in the same voxel coordinate and in the opposite hemisphere. β coefficients (slope) and t scores for each effect are shown. All t scores are at least FWE < 0.01, corrected for searching the whole brain unless otherwise indicated.

a Because these data were normalized to a symmetric template, the peak voxel slope and t-score data may not exactly correspond to data at the same voxel coordinate presented in (in press), which were not normalized to a symmetric EPI template.

b FWE P < 0.05, or non-significant, corrected for searching the whole brain.

c FWE P < 0.05, corrected for searching the whole brain.


d FWE P < 0.01, or non-significant, corrected for searching the whole brain.


Results

Sample characteristics, behavioral performance, and activation differences

As reported in Kiehl et al. (in press), there were no significant differences observed between sites for any demographic or behavioral measures. All subjects performed the task accurately and there were no significant differences in reaction time or accuracy across site, gender, or by age. Likewise, there were no noteworthy differences between genders or sites in hemodynamic activity to target, novel, or standard stimuli.

Hemispheric comparison of the standard stimuli relative to implicit baseline

Standard stimuli showed greater amplitude of the hemodynamic response in left hemisphere relative to right in inferior parietal lobule/superior temporal gyrus and inferior temporal gyrus (Tables 1 and 2). The functional asymmetry for inferior parietal lobe/superior temporal gyrus was the result of greater positive signal change (i.e., ‘activation’) in the right relative to left hemisphere. The asymmetry observed in inferior temporal gyrus activity was the result of positive signal change in the left hemisphere compared to negative signal change in the right. However, neither of these regions were identified in the main effect analysis as showing statistically significant signal change in either hemisphere (P > .05 FWE). There were no areas where right hemisphere hemodynamic activity was greater than left for processing standard stimuli.
Hemispheric comparison of target stimuli relative to the standard stimuli baseline

There was greater hemodynamic activity to target stimuli in right hemisphere frontal, temporal, and parietal lobe areas, including superior, inferior, and medial frontal gyri, middle and inferior temporal gyri, precuneus, and inferior parietal lobule (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 1). There also was greater activity in the anterior lobe of the right cerebellum compared to left. Target stimuli elicited relatively greater hemodynamic activity in left

Fig. 1. Illustration of the areas where there were significant lateralized differences in the amplitude of hemodynamic response to target stimuli versus the standard baseline ($P < 0.01$ FWE, corrected for searching the whole brain). Active voxels in the left hemisphere show $L > R$; active voxels in the right hemisphere show $R > L$. The figure is in neurological convention (that is, the left hemisphere is on the left).
hemisphere relative to right in postcentral gyrus, transverse temporal gyrus, superior parietal lobule, and the posterior lobe of the left cerebellum. Almost all significant differences between hemispheres were the result of ‘activation’ (i.e., positive signal change) differences. One region (right precuneus) showed negative signal change, but the statistic was non-significant (t = -0.98, ns). The right inferior frontal gyrus (Table 2) showed a main effect to targets at P < 0.05 FWE (peak voxel t score =
Novel stimuli elicited relatively greater hemodynamic activity in the right hemisphere relative to the left in middle and inferior temporal gyri, and superior, middle, inferior, and medial frontal gyri (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 2). In the parietal lobe, right hemisphere activation was greater than left in the superior parietal lobule and inferior parietal lobule. There was only one area in the left hemisphere where the amplitude of the hemodynamic response was greater than in the right hemisphere. This was observed in the posterior lobe of the left cerebellum. Except for one region in right medial frontal gyrus, all significant differences between hemispheres were the result of positive signal change ‘activation’ differences. The right medial frontal gyrus response to novel stimuli showed non-significant negative signal change ($t = -2.03$, ns).

### Hemispheric comparison of novel stimuli relative to the standard stimuli baseline

Novel stimuli elicited relatively greater hemodynamic activity in the right hemisphere relative to the left in middle and inferior temporal gyri, and superior, middle, inferior, and medial frontal gyri (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 2). In the parietal lobe, right hemisphere activation was greater than left in the superior parietal lobule and inferior parietal lobule. There was only one area in the left hemisphere where the amplitude of the hemodynamic response was greater than in the right hemisphere. This was observed in the posterior lobe of the left cerebellum. Except for one region in right medial frontal gyrus, all significant differences between hemispheres were the result of positive signal change ‘activation’ differences. The right medial frontal gyrus response to novel stimuli showed non-significant negative signal change ($t = -2.03$, ns).

### Discussion

The primary objective of the present study was to test the hypothesis that processing of target and novel stimuli would elicit greater hemodynamic activity in right hemisphere lateral prefrontal and temporal–parietal junction cortices than in corresponding sites in the left hemisphere. This was tested using a hemodynamic laterality index that was created to represent the difference between left- and right-sided amplitude of the hemodynamic response. In support of our experimental hypotheses, both target and novel stimuli elicited greater activity in several right hemisphere prefrontal cortex structures, including superior, middle, inferior, and medial frontal gyri. Greater right-hemisphere hemodynamic activity suggests that right hemisphere frontal structures may be relatively more important than left hemisphere structures in mediating cognitive processes elicited by oddball task performance. Because both target and novel stimuli engage orienting and attention processes, it is likely that these hemispheric asymmetries in prefrontal activation are related to attention.

These results are consistent with oddball ERP studies that reported greater right hemisphere than left N2 and P3 amplitude over anterior scalp regions (Alexander et al., 1996; Cohen et al., 2002; Holinger et al., 1992; Karniski and Blair, 1989; Naumann et al., 1992; Oades et al., 1995). During active attention tasks, the N2 to target stimuli is believed to reflect detection of some form of mismatch between an internally generated working memory template and stimulus characteristics (Gehring et al., 1992). The P3 is thought to reflect contextual updating of these working memory stimulus representations (Donchin and Coles, 1988). Working memory models are commonly subsumed in theoretical accounts of attention and attentional control. The present study found hemispheric differences in hemodynamic activity in brain areas often observed to be engaged in various attention and working memory processes. For example, ventrolateral prefrontal cortex is believed to be engaged during active comparison of target stimulus characteristics to a working memory template representation via interconnections with posterior temporal and parietal regions via strong bi-directional connections (Petrides, 1998). In the current study, both targets and novel stimuli showed relatively more right-sided activation in inferior frontal gyrus and inferior parietal lobule. However, the extent of cortex in the right ventrolateral prefrontal cortex compared to the left hemisphere appeared to be larger for targets compared to novel stimuli. Therefore, while both types of infrequent stimuli activate bilateral neural networks, cognitive processes related to salient target identification might depend more on right than left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex. Greater right hemisphere than left activation also was observed for both target and novel stimuli in right dorsolateral and polar prefrontal cortex. This suggests that the relatively greater right than left hemisphere activation in these areas is not dependent on either task salience or specific characteristics of deviant stimuli. Rather, these areas of greater right-sided activity might be primarily modulated by a generalized process of stimulus monitoring in working memory or stimulus categorization, respectively.

However, previous ERP and MEG studies raise the possibility that the greater right hemisphere activity observed in the current study may reflect the function of a mismatch detection neural network in inferior frontal cortex (Alain et al., 1998; Deouell et al., 1998; Di Salle et al., 2001; Giard et al., 1990; Muller et al., 2002; Naatanen et al., 1997; Paavilainen et al., 1991) and right temporal lobe (Alain et al., 1998; Deouell et al., 1998; Giard et al., 1990; Naatanen et al., 1997; Paavilainen et al., 1991). Alternatively, the lateralized activity may be related to cognitive phenomena reflected in other ERP components elicited by rare events during auditory oddball tasks. For example, Halgren and colleagues measured an N2a/P3a/SW waveform in widespread cortical areas elicited by both rare targets and distractor stimuli (Halgren et al., 1998). They attributed this triphasic waveform to a general attentional orienting. If BOLD measurements do accurately reflect the cognitive processes depicted by ERPs, the current results may indicate that several lateral cortical areas (i.e., right hemisphere inferior parietal and dorsolateral prefrontal) may make a larger contribution to attentional orienting compared to homologous left hemisphere structures. A similar argument implicates the right hemisphere intraparietal sulcus region for the P3b which is thought to be involved in attentional processing requiring cognitive control. It is noteworthy that spatiotemporal principal component analysis (PCA) of target stimuli extract components with a characteristic P3-like topography centered over right frontocentral (i.e., P3a) and right prefrontal (i.e., P3b) cortex (Spencer et al., 2001). This suggests that these PCA-derived waveforms may be most detectable in these right-sided cortical structures. However, this suggestion does not conclusively link the hemodynamic asymmetry reported in this experiment to P3 waveforms. In Spencer et al. (2001), the PCA also yielded a waveform characteristic of the slow wave ERP found in oddball tasks. Moreover, that particular analysis did not find a factor accounting for high variance in the data suggestive of the N2 ERP. Thus, further work is needed to link the hemodynamic phenomenon observed in the present data to detailed analyses of ERPs.

It is important to note that it is difficult to conclusively link blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) measures of hemodynamic activity to specific ERPs measured by electrophysiological
methods. Despite a convergence of results from many BOLD and ERP studies, it has not yet been irrefutably shown that cognitive phenomena observed in ERPs will be represented in BOLD signal measurements. Until such time that analyses which explicitly combine multimodal imaging data (e.g., Calhoun et al., 2005) clarify these issues, the precise functional contribution of right inferior frontal gyrus to specific target detection cognitive processes as described by ERPs will not be completely understood.

As expected, asymmetry analyses revealed a strong left hemisphere focus of hemodynamic activity in the postcentral gyrus (and ipsilateral cerebellum). This activity is likely due to the motor activity of the right index finger associated with the manual response to target stimuli. Also consistent with known anatomy of the descending motor neural pathway, these analyses found lateralized activity in the anterior lobe of the right cerebellum, which is ipsilateral to participants’ response hand. Another finding was that an area of left posterior lobe cerebellum was relatively more active than the corresponding right hemisphere region for both targets and novels. The well-known involvement of the cerebellum in motor function makes it possible that that this area of relatively greater left cerebellum activity also relates to motor processes. However, this greater left than right cerebellum activity was found for both types of infrequent stimuli, but not for standards. An alternative interpretation is that the greater cerebellum activity could be part of a corticocerebellar neural circuit involved in attention to rare events. In support of this hypothesis, fMRI studies have found activity in the posterior cerebellum on attention tasks (Allen et al., 1997), and impairments in performance on attention-based cognitive tasks have been found in patients with cerebellar lesions (Gottwald et al., 2003). Courchesne and Allen (1997) have proposed a key role for the cerebellum in preparing and regulating other neural systems of the brain in the service of higher-order cognitive function such as attention.

The current results indicate that there are no differences in the lateralization of brain activity due to gender or age in the current sample, consistent with previous ERP studies that did not observe significant age-related changes in hemispheric laterality in healthy young to middle-aged adults (Anderer et al., 1996; Fabiani et al., 1998; Friedman et al., 1993; Goodin et al., 1978; Iragui et al., 1993; Pfefferbaum et al., 1984; Vesco et al., 1993). In general, age-related ERP changes appear to be most observable in samples of older adults and typically take the form of an ‘anteriorization’ of activation focus (Anderer et al., 1996; Sangal and Sangal, 1996). The absence of age-related differences in the present study may be due to the fact that the sample was comprised of predominantly young to late middle-aged adults (i.e., ages 18 to 62). Few studies have examined the effect of gender on target or novel stimuli ERP topography. Limited findings suggest there are few, if any, gender differences in healthy persons’ oddball ERP topography (Sangal and Sangal, 1996). Insofar as neural activity measured by either EEG and fMRI is relatively stable across healthy persons (Alexander et al., 1996; Kiehl et al., in press), it comes as no great surprise that the lack of lateralized differences in such activity likewise are stable across basic demographic factors.

There are both similarities and differences between previously described methods for testing lateralization of function in IMRI data and the voxel-based approach described here. One approach used previously involves identifying region-by-condition interactions based on counting the number of activated voxels within a specific region of interest from each cerebral hemisphere. As discussed in previous voxel-based asymmetry studies (Liegeois et al., 2002; Rowan et al., 2004), the results of that approach strongly depend on the statistical threshold used to choose what represents an ‘activated’ voxel. Lower thresholds may inflate the count of activated voxels within a region-of-interest, whereas too stringent thresholds may mask small regions of true brain function. Furthermore, regions-of-interest are typically defined arbitrarily, which can pose problems when considering individual differences in cerebral morphology or activation foci. Voxel-based approaches provide a direct statistical test on data without the need to arbitrarily set regions or thresholds. Some elements of previously described voxel-based approaches (Liegeois et al., 2002; Rowan et al., 2004; Salmond et al., 2000) were used in this analysis (e.g., use of a symmetric template for spatial normalization, the “flipping” of images so that left and right hemispheres can be compared). However, the present method differs in a number of important ways. Previously reported methods applied the “flipping” and spatial normalization steps to the EPI time series data. In the present approach, the calculations to create images representing functional asymmetry either can be applied to the time series or can be applied to images representing the amplitude of the hemodynamic response for each participant. The latter option (illustrated by the current analysis) is computationally less intensive and can be readily applied to the results of existing statistical analyses without need for extensive reprocessing. In contrast to previous approaches, the current method does not rely on conjunction analysis techniques. Instead, this method uses random effects group level analysis models, which incorporate between-subject variation into their statistical inference. Because the individual subject asymmetry images are computed separately then entered into a second-level random-effects model, this approach provides flexibility for additional types of group level statistics.

Conclusion

In summary, the results provide evidence for greater right-hemisphere hemodynamic activity to rare target and novel stimuli. Our data also suggest that neither age nor gender alters hemispheric differences in the amplitude of the hemodynamic response to oddball stimuli for healthy young and middle-age adults. This experiment demonstrates that a voxel-based asymmetry index based on a simple left versus right difference is an effective tool. It appears to detect differences in neural activity occurring in homologous structures from both hemispheres as well as to effectively characterize strongly lateralized processes. Because this approach is general, it can easily be used to search for hemispheric differences in hemodynamic activity elicited by other types of paradigms and can be applied to various types of group-level analyses (i.e., one-sample t tests, two-sample t tests, correlational analyses, etc.).
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